J. B. KRAFT 710 Colorado St., No. 5C Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 473-2303 Fax (512) 473-8803

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SEP 1 2 2000
DATE: $\frac{\hat{q}}{ z }$	6	
Number of Pages to Follow	(including cover sheet)	
	•	
SEND TO:	United States Patent Office	•
Examiner:	A.M.LEZAK	
Group Art Unit:	2143	
Tel No:		
Fax #:	-571-273-8300	
	18 PAGE	
FROM:	512- 473-2303	
Tel No:	512- <u>[13 2929</u>	
ADDRESSED, AND MAY CON FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER A RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOY INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU A COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR	ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIL PPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSANEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THIS ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION CATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE IN PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHON IT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SER	DENTIAL AND EXEMPT AGE IS NOT THE INTENDED EMESSAGE TO THE N, DISTRIBUTION OR RECEIVED THIS THE THE AND RETURN THE
Docket No. ACSGLOOD O	941051 Serial No. 09/801 612 Atty:	
Applicant:	3.F. McBrearty	•
Transmittal Letter (2 o	copies) Certificate of Facsimile	
Preliminary Amendm	•	
Amendment AF	Appeal Brief (3 copies)	
Ext. of Time	Reply Brief 5 copies	•
IDS Statement	Change of Address	•
Other	09-0449/19-0447	
	7	•
Deposit Acct. No.		•
Fees: Amendment 1	Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief	_Other

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 1 2 2006

PATENT 09/801,612

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

: Group Art Unit: 2143

Examiner: A. M. Lezak

Gerald F. McBrearty et al.

Intellectual Property

Serial No: 09/801,612

: Law Department - 4054 : International Business

Filed: 03/08/2001
Title: PROTECTING CONTENTS

: Machines Corporation

OF COMPUTER DATA FILES FROM

11400 Burnet Road

SUSPECTED INTRUDERS BY

Austin, Texas 78758

RENAMING AND HIDING DATA

Customer No. 32,329

FILES SUBJECTED TO INTRUSION

Date:

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Reply Brief (in triplicate) is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2143 at telephone number 571-273-8300, And to the attention of Examiner A. M. Lezak

on 9/12/06.

REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL
BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Commissioner for Patents P.O.Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

sir:

This is a Reply Brief to the Examiner's Answer mailed July 18, 2006. Applicants will address a new argument made by Examiner in her Answer.

To summarize the remaining issues in the present Appeal, the patentable novelty of the present invention is

AUS920000941US1

PATENT 09/801,612

the combination of: means for determining whether <u>user</u> requests for data <u>are unauthorized intrusions</u> into said requested data files; and

means, <u>responsive to</u> a determination that a request is <u>an unauthorized intrusion</u>, for changing the identification of the requested data files.

Applicants concur with Examiner that Schneck discloses determining whether received requests for data files, in a network environment, are unauthorized. Applicants also concur with Examiner's conclusion that: Schneck does not teach means, responsive to unauthorized intrusion, for changing identification of requested data files.

Applicants have traversed the rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) that claims 1-45 are unobvious over Schneck (US5.933.498) in view of the Margolis publication (US2002/0038296). Examiner relies on Margolis to make up for the above deficiency in the teaching of Schneck.

Examiner's New Argument.

Applicants have conceded that Margolis does disclose changing the names of an objects but only when new versions of objects are created. However, Applicants have argued that this has nothing to do with a response to an unauthorized intrusion, and would not be suggestive combining the Margolis means for changing names with the Schneck means for determining whether requests for data files are unauthorized.

In this connection, Examiner argues that since the claimed invention changes file identities, and Margolis changes file identities, the only difference is the intended use of the name change i.e. Margolis changes identity of an object only when a new version is made while the present

AUS920000941US1

Sep 12 06 08:31p Jerry Kraft (512) 473-8803 p.4

> PATENT 09/801,612

invention changes identity of a file in response to an unauthorized intrusion. In effect, Examiner is arguing that there is no structural difference, only a new use for the function of changing identity of a file.

Applicants submit that the structural difference is in the combination of means for determining whether user requests for data are unauthorized intrusions into said requested data files; and means, responsive to a determination that a request is an unauthorized intrusion, for changing the identification of the requested data files.

In the present invention, the structural means for changing the identification of requested files do so in response to structural means for determining that there has been an unauthorized intrusion. At best, Margolis discloses the combination of structures for changing identities of objects in response to structures for creating new versions of objects. It has no suggestion of a structure for changing identities of objects in response to structural means for determining that there has been an unauthorized intrusion.

For this <u>suggestion in Margolus</u>, Examiner cites ten continuous columns (paragraphs 0011-0032) as well as paragraphs 55 and 62 and all of claims 1-153. Applicants have reviewed these sections and claims, and still fail to find any suggestion of changing the identification or name of any file responsive to an unauthorized intrusion.

In view of the foregoing and Applicants' arguments made in the Brief on Appeal, it is submitted that Claims 1-45 are unobvious over the combination of Schneck et al. (US5,933,498) in view of the Margolus Publication (US2002/0038296), and, therefore, are patentable under 35 USC 103(a).

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Final 3 AUS920000941US1

PATENT 09/801,612

Rejection of claims 1-45 dated February 10, 2005 be reversed, and that claims 1-45 be found to be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

M. B. Kraft/ Attorney for Applicants Registrat/on No. 19,226

 $(5\overline{12})$ 473/-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Herman Rodriguez
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PATENT 09/801,612

SEP 1 2 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

: Group Art Unit: 2143

Examiner: A. M. Lezak

Gerald F. McBrearty et al.

Intellectual Property

Serial No: 09/801,612

Law Department - 4054

Filed: 03/08/2001

International Business
Machines Corporation

Title: PROTECTING CONTENTS
OF COMPUTER DATA FILES FROM

11400 Burnet Road

SUSPECTED INTRUDERS BY

Austin, Texas 78758

RENAMING AND HIDING DATA

Customer No. 32,329

FILES SUBJECTED TO INTRUSION

Date:

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Reply Brief (in triplicate) is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO. Group Art Unit 2143 at telephone number 571-273-8300 and to the attention of Examiner A. M. Lezak

on

REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

PAGE 6/6 * RCVD AT 9/12/2006 9:31:12 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-3/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-18